(d) The lack of adequate progress in some States is mainly due to adverse climatic conditions, delay in forest clearance, land dispute and inadequate execution capacity of the States.

(e) The PMGSY guidelines stipulate that the works are to be completed within 15 months after the clearance of the projects by the Ministry.

(f) Cost escalation, if any, due to whatever reason, is to be done by the State Government concerned.

Amount sanctioned under Rural Sanitation Programme

109. PROF. M.M. AGARWALA: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the total amount sanctioned to the different States under Rural Sanitation Programme during the Tenth Five Year Plan;

(b) the year-wise details thereof; and

(c) what steps are being taken by Government to implement the said programme effectively in the country?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI A. NARENDRA): (a) and (b) A Statement indicating State-wise total funds sanctioned and year-wise releases made during the Tenth Five Year Plan period for the demand-driven Total Sanitation Campaign (TSC) projects under the Rural Sanitation Programme is enclosed (See below).

(c) In order to effectively implement the TSC, emphasis is given on awareness building and social mobilization. For encouraging greater involvement of Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs), non-government organizations and key opinion leaders in sanitation promotion, Nirmal Gram Puraskar (NGP) have been launched for the PRIs attaining full sanitation coverage as well-as organisations and individuals doing exemplary works in sanitation promotion in the Country. An effective web-based monitoring

system has been put in place and budget allocation for TSC has been increased substantially in the Tenth Plan period.

Statement

State-wise amount sanctioned under rural sanitation programme during the tenth five year plan period.

(Rs. in lakhs)

SI. No	State	Amount Sanctioned	Amount released				Total
			2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06*	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Andhra Pradesh	26940.92	1600.87	4660.35	3362.27	3281.12	12904.61
2	Arunachal Pradesh	607.51	0	10	90	0	100
3	Assam	7774.95	0	199.31	254.95	1355.65	1809.91
4	Bihar	21744.43	1548.7	0	120	1487.38	3156.08
5	Chhattisgarh	9393.4	175.64	0	1100.17	1142.32	2418.13
6	D & N Haveli	35.5	3.15	0	0	0	3.15
7	Goa	425.58	0	0	134.67	0	134.67
8	Gujarat	15074.26	194.65	12.5	3690.44	188.79	4086.38
9	Haryana	. 4684.29	402.9	62.06	811.13	9.97	1286.06
10	Himachal Pradesh	2571.37	79.29	0	50	627.15	756.44
11	Jammu& Kashmir	4387.38	0	76.48	1044.88	0	1121.36
12	Jharkhand	18921.6	223.87	284.61	1946.71	2077.87	453306
13	Karnataka	20715.38	0	0	558.57	5341.98	5900.55
14	Kerala	6099.61	439.27	864.13	805.53	408.62	2517.55
15	Madhya Pradesh	27588.22	718.1	4352.79	2242.97	719.13	8032.99
16	Maharashtra	22162.99	591.37	725.05	3592.72	1047.55	5956.69
17	Manipur	505.48	0	103.56	0	0	103.56
18	Meghalaya	737.91	0	221.37	0	0	221.37
19	Mizoram	716.47	0	11.51	60	141.41	212.92

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
20	Nagaland	572.32	13.79	0	62.69	20	96.48
21	Orissa	28411.17	1113.85	284.16	4582.01	1821.26	7801.28
22	Pondicherry	158.06	0	0	47.42	0	47.42
23	Punjab	4271.4	52.67	0	699.94	194.06	946.67
24	Rajasthan	24700.1	265.62	119.12	700.86	4241.57	5327.17
25	Sikkim	1268.86	17.98	38.36	74.07	344.9	475.31
26	Tamil Nadu	24350.32	2192.49	2768.98	2972.06	5201.19	13134.72
27	Tripura	2283 64	249 56	819.21	368.73	0	1437.5
28	Uttar Pradesh	33099.03	2272.4	3120.44	3475.35	4181.91	13050.1
29	Uttaranchal	34344	151.16	13.4	503.23	97.5	765.29
30	West Bengal	1879813	1528.88	1181.1	1566.85	3800.78	8077.61
	TOTAL	332434.68	13836.21	19928.49	34918.22	37732.11	106415

(*AS ON 21.11 2005)

Food for Work Programme

110. SHRI PYARIMOHAN MOHAPATRA: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that infrastructure work will be taken up only in big villages, since under the NFFW Programme, there should be availability of at least 50 job seekers to start a new work;

(b) the number of Tribal and Scheduled Caste Villages in the country which has a population of less than 250 and, therefore, will not have 50 job seekers to get the benefit; and

(c) if the number in (b) is very large and the villages comprise mostly of the deprived and the disadvantaged, who need priority attention under this programme, how the Government proposes to take care of them?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) to (c) The National Food for Work Programme Guidelines do not place any restriction of 50